



Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>

Second Follow-Up: Request for Clarification — Legal Authority and Decision-Making Process for Denial of Delegation Requests (LU009583) — Unanswered as of July 14, 2026

5 messages

Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>

Wed, Jul 15, 2026 at 8:00 AM

To: Hanieh Berg <HBerg@delta.ca>, cityclerk@delta.ca

Cc: Mayor & Council <Mayor-Council@delta.ca>, Mayor George Harvie <mayorharvie@delta.ca>, Laurie Darcus <LDarcus@delta.ca>, Legislative Services <legislative@delta.ca>, "sarbjotlocham@gmail.com" <sarbjotlocham@gmail.com>

Dear Ms. Hanieh Berg & City of Delta Clerk's Office,

I am writing to follow up a second time on my July 6, 2026, letter (Request for Clarification — Legal Authority and Decision-Making Process for Denial of Delegation Requests, LU009583) and my July 9, 2026, follow-up.

As of today, July 14, 2026 — **eight days after my original letter, five days after my follow-up, and one day after the July 13 Regular Council Meeting — none of the 15 questions set out below have received a substantive response.** My July 9 email specifically requested confirmation that a response would be provided before the July 13 meeting, given that these questions bear directly on the validity of delegation decisions made under Bylaw No. 8330. **No such confirmation was given, and no response has followed the meeting.**

The only reply received to date, from Dr. Laurie Darcus on July 8, 2026, restated the general description of the delegation process previously provided on June 29, 2026, and advised that a related follow-up request was under review. **It did not address any of the 15 questions below.**

For ease of reference, and so this correspondence stands complete on its own, I am restating those 15 questions in full:

1. Under what legal authority was the City's external legal counsel authorized to deny, or to communicate the denial of, my first delegation request (November 5, 2025 letter)?
2. Was the external lawyer acting under a specific provision of the Community Charter, a City of Delta bylaw, a Council resolution, a written policy, or a delegated authority? If so, please identify the applicable authority.
3. Did the external lawyer make the decision to deny my delegation request, or was he communicating a decision that had already been made by an authorized City official? If the latter, please identify that official.
4. Under what legal authority did Leslie Tuerlings, Deputy Corporate Officer, deny my second delegation request on May 20, 2026?
5. Was that decision Ms. Tuerlings' own, made under a specific delegated authority, or was she communicating a decision made by someone else? If the latter, please identify who made the decision.
6. Please explain how a request for clarification of an internal documentation conflict, as distinct from a request to revisit a decision already made, falls within the scope of the position described in the November 5, 2025, letter.
7. If either decision was made by a City official rather than by external counsel, please identify: (a) the name and position of the decision-maker; (b) the legal authority under which the decision was made; and (c) whether that authority was delegated by Council or otherwise authorized.
8. Sections 13.4 and 13.10 of Council Procedure Bylaw No. 8330 appear to indicate that the Mayor approves delegation requests. If that interpretation is incorrect, please identify the bylaw, resolution, or other authority that permits another person to exercise that function.
9. Ms. Darcus's June 29, 2026, email states that the City Manager's office reviews delegation requests first, with final approval by the Mayor, "according to the Council Procedure Bylaw." Bylaw 8330 does not reference a City Manager review step at Sections 13.4 or 13.10. Please confirm whether this two-stage process is documented elsewhere in writing, and reconcile it with the fact that neither of my two delegation denials was referenced or communicated by the City Manager's office.

10. During my correspondence with Mayor Harvie following these two denials, I was advised on June 29, 2026, that he was not aware of, and had not been informed of, either delegation request or its denial. If the Mayor did not make or review either decision, who did?

11. My May 20, 2026, delegation request was denied by relying exclusively on the earlier external lawyer's correspondence of November 5, 2025. Please identify the legal authority that permitted reliance on that earlier correspondence as the basis for denying a subsequent, and substantively different, delegation request more than six months later.

12. Please provide copies of any Council resolution, delegation of authority, written policy, administrative procedure, or other governing document that authorizes City staff or external legal counsel to approve or deny delegation requests on behalf of the Mayor or Council.

13. Do the August 1, 2025, and November 5, 2025 letters from the City's external legal counsel continue to govern or restrict my communications with City staff and departments? If so, please identify the legal authority under which those letters continue to be applied, identify the person or office responsible for authorizing their ongoing application, and provide any bylaw, Council resolution, written policy, or administrative procedure supporting that authority.

14. In relation to my delegation requests concerning the implementation and interpretation of Bill 25 and the Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing framework, who determined that those requests constituted development or land use matters, and under what bylaw, Council resolution, delegated authority, written policy, or administrative procedure was that determination made?

15. If the August 1, 2025, and November 5, 2025 correspondence was intended to apply only to communications relating to my subdivision application, please identify the legal authority under which it was subsequently relied upon in relation to delegation requests, requests for administrative clarification, requests for payment confirmation, and correspondence concerning the implementation of Bill 25.

I would appreciate a substantive, question-by-question response by Friday, July 24, 2026. If a complete response is not possible within that time, please identify which questions can be answered now and provide a specific date for the remainder.

I am restoring the full distribution list to this email. **If a substantive response is not received by the date above, I intend to make this correspondence, and the record of the requests and responses to date, available publicly.**

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Sarbjot Singh Locham
11138 80A Avenue, Delta, BC V4C 1Y5
604-817-4754
lochamsarbjot@gmail.com

Laurie Darcus <LDarcus@delta.ca>
To: Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>
Cc: Marcy Sangret <MSangret@delta.ca>

Thu, Jul 16, 2026 at 1:01 PM

Good Afternoon Mr. Locham

Please see my responses below in blue

NOTE: This email and any attachments are only for the use of the intended recipient and must not be distributed, disclosed, used or copied by or to anyone else. If you receive this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies of this email and any attachments. Please be advised that correspondence with City of Delta Council and staff is subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

From: Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>

Sent: July 15, 2026 8:00 AM

To: Hanieh Berg <HBerg@delta.ca>; Legislative Services <legislative@delta.ca>

Cc: Mayor & Council <Mayor-Council@delta.ca>; Mayor George Harvie <mayorharvie@delta.ca>; Laurie Darcus <LDarcus@delta.ca>; Legislative Services <legislative@delta.ca>; sarbjotlocham@gmail.com

Subject: Second Follow-Up: Request for Clarification — Legal Authority and Decision-Making Process for Denial of Delegation Requests (LU009583) — Unanswered as of July 14, 2026

Warning: This email came from outside the City of Delta. If the sender is not known, please do not open links or attachments. Contact the Service Desk (3215) if unsure of this email.

Dear Ms. Hanieh Berg & City of Delta Clerk's Office,

I am writing to follow up a second time on my July 6, 2026, letter (Request for Clarification — Legal Authority and Decision-Making Process for Denial of Delegation Requests, LU009583) and my July 9, 2026, follow-up.

As of today, July 14, 2026 — **eight days after my original letter, five days after my follow-up, and one day after the July 13 Regular Council Meeting — none of the 15 questions set out below have received a substantive response.** My July 9 email specifically requested confirmation that a response would be provided before the July 13 meeting, given that these questions bear directly on the validity of delegation decisions made under Bylaw No. 8330. **No such confirmation was given, and no response has followed the meeting.**

The only reply received to date, from Dr. Laurie Darcus on July 8, 2026, restated the general description of the delegation process previously provided on June 29, 2026, and advised that a related follow-up request was under review. **On the following dates responses were sent regarding your questions: June 29 and July 8 from myself, and July 9 from Hanieh Berg.**

It did not address any of the 15 questions below.

For ease of reference, and so this correspondence stands complete on its own, I am restating those 15 questions in full:

1. Under what legal authority was the City's external legal counsel authorized to deny, or to communicate the denial of, my first delegation request (November 5, 2025 letter)?

Please provide a copy of this letter for my review. I was not at the City at that time.

2. Was the external lawyer acting under a specific provision of the Community Charter, a City of Delta bylaw, a Council resolution, a written policy, or a delegated authority? If so, please identify the applicable authority.

As above

3. Did the external lawyer make the decision to deny my delegation request, or was he communicating a decision that had already been made by an authorized City official? If the latter, please identify that official.

As above

4. Under what legal authority did Leslie Tuerlings, Deputy Corporate Officer, deny my second delegation request on May 20, 2026?

Leslie Tuerlings communicated the decision only, the decision was made based on the Council Procedure Bylaw which we have supplied to you in previous emails.

5. Was that decision Ms. Tuerlings' own, made under a specific delegated authority, or was she communicating a decision made by someone else? If the latter, please identify who made the decision.

As above

6. Please explain how a request for clarification of an internal documentation conflict, as distinct from a request to revisit a decision already made, falls within the scope of the position described in the November 5, 2025, letter.

As above re: letter

7. If either decision was made by a City official rather than by external counsel, please identify: (a) the name and position of the decision-maker; (b) the legal authority under which the decision was made; and (c) whether that authority was delegated by Council or otherwise authorized.

As per the Council Procedure Bylaw

8. Sections 13.4 and 13.10 of Council Procedure Bylaw No. 8330 appear to indicate that the Mayor approves delegation requests. If that interpretation is incorrect, please identify the bylaw, resolution, or other authority that permits another person to exercise that function.

As per the Council Procedure Bylaw

9. Ms. Darcus's June 29, 2026, email states that the City Manager's office reviews delegation requests first, with final approval by the Mayor, "according to the Council Procedure Bylaw." Bylaw 8330 does not reference a City Manager review step at Sections 13.4 or 13.10. Please confirm whether this two-stage process is documented elsewhere in writing, and reconcile it with the fact that neither of my two delegation denials was referenced or communicated by the City Manager's office.

This is an internal process

10. During my correspondence with Mayor Harvie following these two denials, I was advised on June 29, 2026, that he was not aware of, and had not been informed of, either delegation request or its denial. If the Mayor did not make or review either decision, who did?

I cannot respond as I was not involved in this conversation between you and the Mayor.

11. My May 20, 2026, delegation request was denied by relying exclusively on the earlier external lawyer's correspondence of November 5, 2025. Please identify the legal authority that permitted reliance on that earlier correspondence as the basis for denying a subsequent, and substantively different, delegation request more than six months later.

As above re: letter

12. Please provide copies of any Council resolution, delegation of authority, written policy, administrative procedure, or other governing document that authorizes City staff or external legal counsel to approve or deny delegation requests on behalf of the Mayor or Council.

As per the Council Procedure Bylaw

13. Do the August 1, 2025, and November 5, 2025 letters from the City's external legal counsel continue to govern or restrict my communications with City staff and departments? If so, please identify the legal authority under which those letters continue to be applied, identify the person or office responsible for authorizing their ongoing application, and provide any bylaw, Council resolution, written policy, or administrative procedure supporting that authority.

As above re: letter

14. In relation to my delegation requests concerning the implementation and interpretation of Bill 25 and the Small-Scale Multi-Unit Housing framework, who determined that those requests constituted development or land use matters, and under what bylaw, Council resolution, delegated authority, written policy, or administrative procedure was that determination made?

As per the Council Procedure Bylaw

15. If the August 1, 2025, and November 5, 2025 correspondence was intended to apply only to communications relating to my subdivision application, please identify the legal authority under which it was subsequently relied upon in relation to delegation requests, requests for administrative clarification, requests for payment confirmation, and correspondence concerning the implementation of Bill 25.

Not applicable. Delegation requests are determined As per the Council Procedure Bylaw

I would appreciate a substantive, question-by-question response by Friday, July 24, 2026. If a complete response is not possible within that time, please identify which questions can be answered now and provide a specific date for the remainder.

I am restoring the full distribution list to this email. **If a substantive response is not received by the date above, I intend to make this correspondence, and the record of the requests and responses to date, available publicly.**

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Sarbjot Singh Locham
11138 80A Avenue, Delta, BC V4C 1Y5

604-817-4754

lochamsarbjot@gmail.com

**Laurie Darcus**, PhD, MMC, SCMP

Director of Legislative Services| City of Delta

Office: 604-952-3172 Cell 604-230-5501**Email:** Ldarcus@delta.ca

The City of Delta acknowledges that we are on the shared, traditional, ancestral, and unceded territories of the scəwəθən (Tsawwassen), xʷməθkʷəyəm (Musqueam), and other Coast Salish Peoples. We extend our appreciation to these First Nations for the opportunity to enjoy this land together.

This email and any attachments are only for the use of the intended recipient and must not be distributed, disclosed, used or copied by or to anyone else. If you receive this in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete all copies of this email and any attachments. Please be advised that correspondence with City of Delta Council and staff is subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act.

Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>

Thu, Jul 16, 2026 at 2:32 PM

To: Laurie Darcus <LDarcus@delta.ca>

Cc: Marcy Sangret <MSangret@delta.ca>, Hanieh Berg <HBerg@delta.ca>, cityclerk@delta.ca, Mayor & Council <Mayor-Council@delta.ca>, Mayor George Harvie <mayorharvie@delta.ca>, Legislative Services <legislative@delta.ca>, "sarbjotlocham@gmail.com" <sarbjotlocham@gmail.com>

Subject: Response to July 16, 2026 Reply — Outstanding Questions on Legal Authority and Decision-Making Process (LU009583)

Dear Dr. Darcus,

Thank you for your July 16, 2026, response. Having reviewed it against the full correspondence record, I must note that it does not provide a substantive answer to any of the 15 questions and, in several respects, introduces new inaccuracies that I need to correct for the record.

1. The Council Procedure Bylaw was never provided to me.

Your response, and your June 29, 2026, email before it, both rely on "the Council Procedure Bylaw" as the answer to Questions 4, 5, 7, 8, 12, and 14. To be clear: at no point has the City provided me with a copy of Bylaw No. 8330, in whole or in the relevant sections, in any correspondence to date. The copy I have reviewed was located independently, through a public search. If your office is relying on a specific version or section of the bylaw as the basis for these decisions, please provide that copy directly, along with the specific section numbers you say apply to each denial — not a general reference to "the bylaw" as though it were self-explanatory.

2. You have asked me to provide the November 5, 2025, letter — it is attached.

In response to Questions 1, 2, 3, 6, 11, and 13, you indicated you could not respond because you were not with the City in November 2025 and asked me to supply the letter. I attach both the August 1, 2025, and November 5, 2025, letters from Mr. Poole for your immediate reference. Having now reviewed them, I would draw your attention to two passages directly relevant to my questions:

- The August 1, 2025, letter restricts communication specifically to matters "relating to your subdivision application... file number LU009583." It does not purport to restrict communication on any other matter.
- The November 5, 2025, letter states explicitly, on page 2: *"The position taken by the City with respect to communication on your development application is not intended to be an infringement on your ability to communicate with Mayor and Council on governance and policy matters more generally."*

Neither letter cites any bylaw, Community Charter provision, Council resolution, or delegated authority as the basis for the restriction. Both were written by the City's external counsel, not by a named City official. I would ask again, directly: who instructed Mr. Poole to send these letters, and under what authority?

3. The May 20, 2026, denial mischaracterizes my request.

Ms. Tuerlings' May 20, 2026, letter denied my delegation request by relying on the November 5, 2025, letter's statement that the City does not view delegation as appropriate "for a development applicant seeking to revisit decisions made by staff or Council." My May 15, 2026, submission was not a request to revisit a decision. It was, on its face, a request for "clarification and direction" regarding a documented conflict between the August 22, 2024, staff memorandum, the approved engineering drawings, and subsequent verbal advice from staff — a conflict that was, by the City's own record, still unresolved and affecting an active property transaction. Question 6 in my original letter asked the City to explain how this characterization was reached. That question remains unanswered.

Given the above, I ask that your office provide, by July 24, 2026:

- The specific section(s) of Bylaw No. 8330 relied upon for each of the decisions referenced in Questions 4, 7, 8, 12, and 14, with a copy of the bylaw attached;
- The name and position of the City official who authorized the August 1, 2025, and November 5, 2025, letters, and under what delegated authority;
- A direct response to why my May 15, 2026, request — framed as a request for clarification of a documentation conflict — was treated as a request to revisit a completed decision.

I remain willing to receive a partial response by the deadline with a specific date for the remainder, as previously offered. I am maintaining the full distribution list on this correspondence for the reasons stated in my prior letters.

Sincerely,
 Sarbjot Singh Locham
 11138 80A Avenue, Delta, BC V4C 1Y5
 604-817-4754
lochamsarbjot@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments



Mr. Poole's - the November 5, 2025 letter.pdf
 75K



Mr. Poole's Cease & Desist Letter, August 1, 2025.PDF
 104K

Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>

Thu, Jul 30, 2026 at 4:47 PM

To: Laurie Darcus <LDarcus@delta.ca>

Cc: Marcy Sangret <MSangret@delta.ca>, Hanieh Berg <HBerg@delta.ca>, cityclerk@delta.ca, Mayor & Council <Mayor-Council@delta.ca>, Mayor George Harvie <mayorharvie@delta.ca>, Legislative Services <legislative@delta.ca>, "sarbjotlocham@gmail.com" <sarbjotlocham@gmail.com>

Subject: Record of Non-Response — 15-Question Letter of July 6/15, 2026 (LU009583) — Deadline Passed July 24, 2026

Dear Ms. Hanieh Berg & City of Delta Clerk's Office,

This letter serves as a formal record that the July 24, 2026, deadline I set in my July 15, 2026, letter has passed without a substantive response.

To summarize the record to date:

- July 6, 2026: Original 15-question letter delivered.
- July 9, 2026: First follow-up, requesting confirmation of a response before the July 13 Council meeting.
- July 13, 2026: Regular Council Meeting held; no response provided before or arising from it.
- July 14–15, 2026: Second follow-up restating all 15 questions in full, with a response requested by July 24, 2026.
- July 16, 2026: Dr. Darcus responded, but did not answer any of the 15 questions individually; her response relied on "the Council Procedure Bylaw" without citing sections, and did not address the mischaracterization of my May 15, 2026, delegation request.
- July 16, 2026 (2:32 PM): I replied identifying three specific outstanding items — (a) the Bylaw 8330 sections relied on, (b) the name/position/authority of whoever authorized the August 1 and November 5, 2025, Poole letters, and (c) a direct response on why my May 15, 2026, request was treated as "revisiting a decision."
- July 24, 2026: Deadline passed. No response received.

As of today, July 30, 2026, none of the 15 original questions, nor the three follow-up items of July 16, have received a substantive answer.

This notice is entered into the record as an uncontested account of non-response, consistent with the notice I provided on July 15, 2026, that I would consider the record complete and would proceed accordingly if no substantive response was received by this date.

Sincerely,

Sarbjot Singh Locham
11138 80A Avenue, Delta, BC V4C 1Y5
604-817-4754
lochamsarbjot@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Sarbjot Singh LOCHAM <lochamsarbjot@gmail.com>

Thu, Aug 6, 2026 at 10:23 AM

To: Laurie Darcus <LDarcus@delta.ca>

Cc: Marcy Sangret <MSangret@delta.ca>, Hanieh Berg <HBerg@delta.ca>, cityclerk@delta.ca, Mayor & Council <Mayor-Council@delta.ca>, Mayor George Harvie <mayorharvie@delta.ca>, Legislative Services <legislative@delta.ca>, "sarbjotlocham@gmail.com" <sarbjotlocham@gmail.com>

Subject: Re: 15 Legal Authority Questions — Question-by-Question Review of Your July 16, 2026 Response (LU009583)

Dear Dr. Laurie Darcus,

I have now reviewed your July 16, 2026 response against each of the 15 legal authority questions from my July 6/15, 2026 letter individually, and against the two letters from Mr. Poole that you asked me to supply (both attached again here for ease of reference: the August 1, 2025 letter and the November 5, 2025 letter). None of the 15 questions has received a substantive answer. I am setting out each question below, together with your response and why it does not answer what was asked, so the record is complete, and there is no ambiguity about what remains outstanding.

Note on formatting: your quoted responses appear in **dark red**, matching the color-coding used in your own July 16, 2026 reply. New text added since my last letter appears in **blue and highlighted** for ease of reference.

1. Under what legal authority was external counsel authorized to deny, or communicate the denial of, my first delegation request (November 5, 2025 letter)?

***Your response:** You asked me to provide a copy of the letter, stating you were not with the City at that time.*

— The letter is attached. It cites no bylaw, Community Charter provision, Council resolution, or delegated authority. Please now answer the question directly.

I would also note that the August 1, 2025 letter is correspondence from the City's external counsel requesting that I cease certain communications — it is not a court order, an injunction, or any other form of binding legal authority. To the extent the City has treated it as having that effect, please identify the legal basis for doing so.

2. Was the external lawyer acting under a specific provision of the Community Charter, a City bylaw, a Council resolution, a written policy, or a delegated authority?

***Your response:** "As above."*

— Not answered. The letter itself identifies no such authority.

3. Did the external lawyer make the decision himself, or communicate a decision already made by an authorized City official? If the latter, who?

Your response: "As above."

— Not answered. No official is identified.

4. Under what legal authority did Leslie Tuerlings deny my second delegation request on May 20, 2026?

Your response: You stated Ms. Tuerlings communicated the decision only, and that the decision was based on the Council Procedure Bylaw "which we have supplied to you in previous emails."

— This is incorrect: the City has never supplied me with a copy of Bylaw No. 8330 in any correspondence. I located the bylaw independently, through a public search. If your office is relying on it, please provide it directly along with the specific section(s) relied on for this decision.

5. Was that decision Ms. Tuerlings' own, or was she communicating a decision made by someone else? If the latter, who?

Your response: "As above."

— Not answered. If Ms. Tuerlings only communicated the decision, as you state in Q4, please identify who actually made it.

6. Please explain how a request for clarification of a documentation conflict, as distinct from a request to revisit a decision already made, falls within the scope of the November 5, 2025 letter.

Your response: "As above re: letter."

— Not answered. The November 5, 2025 letter (attached) addresses only requests to "revisit decisions made by staff or Council"; it does not address requests for clarification of a documentation conflict, which is what my May 15, 2026 submission was.

7. If either decision was made by a City official rather than external counsel, please identify: (a) name and position; (b) legal authority; (c) whether delegated by Council.

Your response: "As per the Council Procedure Bylaw."

— Not answered. No name, no section number, no confirmation of delegation.

8. Sections 13.4 and 13.10 of Bylaw 8330 appear to indicate the Mayor approves delegation requests. If that reading is wrong, what authority permits someone else to exercise that function?

Your response: "As per the Council Procedure Bylaw."

— Not answered. This restates the bylaw I already cited without identifying any other authority.

9. Your June 29, 2026 email described a two-stage process (City Manager reviews, Mayor approves) that does not appear in ss.13.4/13.10. Is this documented elsewhere, and how does it reconcile with neither denial being communicated by the City Manager's office?

Your response: "This is an internal process."

— Not answered. Please confirm whether this process is documented in writing anywhere, and provide that document if so.

10. Mayor Harvie told me on June 29, 2026 that he was not aware of, and had not been informed of, either delegation request or its denial. If he did not make or review either decision, who did?

Your response: You stated you could not respond as you were not party to that conversation.

— This question does not ask you to speak to the conversation with the Mayor; it asks who made the decision if not him. Please answer directly.

11. What legal authority permitted reliance on the November 5, 2025 letter, more than six months later, to deny a substantively different request on May 20, 2026?

Your response: "As above re: letter."

— Not answered. The letter does not address this.

12. Please provide copies of any Council resolution, delegation of authority, written policy, or administrative procedure authorizing staff or external counsel to approve or deny delegation requests on behalf of the Mayor or Council.

Your response: "As per the Council Procedure Bylaw."

— Not answered. No documents were provided. Bylaw 8330 itself names only the Mayor at ss.13.4/13.10; it does not authorize staff or counsel to act in his place. If a separate document does so, please produce it.

13. Do the August 1, 2025 and November 5, 2025 letters continue to govern or restrict my communications with City staff? If so, under what authority, and who authorized their ongoing application?

Your response: *"As above re: letter."*

— Not answered. Neither letter addresses whether it remains in force today, nearly a year later.

14. Who determined that my Bill 25/SSMUH delegation requests constituted development or land use matters, and under what authority?

Your response: *"As per the Council Procedure Bylaw."*

— Not answered. No individual or authority is identified.

15. If the two letters were intended to apply only to my subdivision application, what authority permitted their later application to delegation requests, clarification requests, payment-confirmation requests, and Bill 25 correspondence?

Your response: *"Not applicable. Delegation requests are determined as per the Council Procedure Bylaw."*

— This does not answer the question asked, which concerns the scope of the two letters, not the delegation-request process generally. I would also note that both letters, on their face, restrict communication only to matters "relating to your subdivision application... file number LU009583" (August 1, 2025 letter) and expressly confirm they are not intended to restrict communication "on governance and policy matters more generally" (November 5, 2025 letter, page 2).

To summarize: of the 15 questions, none has been substantively answered. Six were answered only with a repeated reference to "the Council Procedure Bylaw" without a section number or supporting document (Questions 7, 8, 9, 12, 14, and part of 4); five were deflected back to me for documents I have now supplied (Questions 1, 2, 3, 6, 11, 13, 15); and two were declined on the basis that you were not personally involved (Questions 5, 10).

Given that the bylaw has still never been provided to me directly, and that the specific sections relied on for each individual decision have still not been identified, I ask that your office provide, by August 12, 2026:

- (a) The specific section(s) of Bylaw No. 8330 (or any other bylaw, resolution, or policy) relied on for each of Questions 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12, and 14, with the document itself attached;
- (b) The name and position of the City official who authorized the August 1, 2025 and November 5, 2025 letters, and under what delegated authority (Questions 1–3, 6, 11, 13);
- (c) A direct answer to who made the decision to deny my requests, if not Ms. Tuerlings or the Mayor (Questions 5, 10);
- (d) A direct answer on the scope of the two letters and why they were applied beyond the subdivision file (Question 15).

I am attaching both Poole letters again for your convenience. I remain willing to receive a partial response by the deadline with a specific date for the remainder. If a substantive, question-by-question response is not received by August 12, 2026, I will treat this as the City's final position on all 15 questions and proceed accordingly, including updating Ombudsperson file 25-007992 with this full record and making the correspondence public as previously stated.

Sincerely,

Sarbjot Singh Locham
11138 80A Avenue, Delta, BC V4C 1Y5
604-817-4754
lochamsarbjot@gmail.com

[Attachments: Mr. Poole's Cease & Desist Letter, August 1, 2025; Mr. Poole's Letter, November 5, 2025]

[Quoted text hidden]

2 attachments



Mr. Poole's - the November 5, 2025 letter.pdf
75K



Mr. Poole's Cease & Desist Letter, August 1, 2025.PDF
104K